

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)	Art Unit: 1656
)	
BOCK, et al.)	Examiner: NOAKES, S.
)	
Serial No.: 10/574,084)	Washington, D.C.
)	
Filed: May 15, 2007)	April 28, 2010
)	
For: A METHOD OF MODULATING)	Docket No.: BOCK=9
CELL SURVIVAL)	
DIFFERENTIATION...)	Confirmation No.: 3782

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW


U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Customer Service Window
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

S i r :

Applicants agree with the examiner's April 12 summary of what the examiner and counsel said, but note that counsel did not express an opinion as the correctness of the examiner's assertion concerning the relationship of SID5 to prior art. We also note that the examiner indicated in a later phone call that the group III claims would be rejoined if the main claim were placed in allowable form.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.
Attorneys for Applicant

By: 
Iver P. Cooper
Reg. No. 28,005

624 Ninth Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001
Telephone: (202) 628-5197
Facsimile: (202) 737-3528
IPC:lms

G:\ipc\g-1\hoib\BOCK9\bock9.statement substance of interview.wpd